1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General		
2	of the State of California JOSE R.GUERRERO		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN		
4	Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
7	Legal Representatives for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. S-354	
12 13	APRIL DAWN SACCA-JUUL 6633 N. Laureen Fresno, CA 93710	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
14			
15	Applicant/Respondent.		
16	Complainant alleges:		
17	<u>PARTIES</u>		
18	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainan	t) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her	
19	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California, Department		
20	of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about June 20, 2005, the Respiratory Care Board of California		
22	(Board) received an application for a Respiratory Care Practitioner License from April Dawn		
23	Sacca-Juul (Respondent). On or about May 20, 2005, April Dawn Sacca-Juul certified under		
24	penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the		
25	application. The Board denied the application on October 19, 2005.		
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
27	3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Respiratory Care Board,		
28	Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are		
	_		

to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3732 of the Code states:
 - "(a) The board shall investigate an applicant for a license, before a license is issued, in order to determine whether or not the applicant has in fact the qualifications required by this chapter.
 - "(b) The board may deny an application, or may order the issuance of a license with terms and conditions, for any of the causes specified in this chapter for suspension or revocation of a license, including, but not limited to, those causes specified in Sections 3750, 3750.5, 3752.5, 3752.6, 3755, 3757, 3760, and 3761."
 - 7. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

- "(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction.
- "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500).

27 ///

28 ///

8. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act.
- "(c) Conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence or reckless driving while under the influence.

COST RECOVERY

10. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

11. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

12. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:

"(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction)

- 13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 3732(a) and (b), 3750(d) and 3752 [substantially related conviction], CCR 1399.370(a) and (c) in that on or about January 26, 2005, Respondent was convicted by plea of guilty of violating Penal Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol, a misdemeanor. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. On or about May 28, 2004 at 1636 hours (4:36 p.m.),
 California Highway Patrol Officer J.J. Acosta was advised that a gray Toyota vehicle
 driven by a white female adult with dark hair was involved in a hit and run collision. He
 saw the vehicle driving northbound on SR-99 just north of North Avenue, and he stopped
 the vehicle. The driver identified herself as respondent but she did not have a California
 driver's license in her possession. Officer Acosta detected an odor of an alcoholic beverage
 on her person, and noticed that respondent's eyes appeared watery. He advised respondent
 of the reason he had stopped her. She denied involvement in a collision but recalled being
 forced off the freeway. He asked if she had anything (alcoholic) to drink that day, and
 respondent admitted that she drank two cocktails earlier. Respondent asked why Officer
 Acosta had stopped her, and he stated that he had received information that she was
 possibly involved in a hit and run collision. Officer A. Reyes arrived to assist. Officer
 Acosta directed respondent to perform various field sobriety tests (FST), explaining each

one and asking respondent to do each. At 1711 (5:11 p.m.), respondent performed a preliminary alcohol screening (P.A.S.) test. The results were .17%. She performed a second screening test at 1714 (5:14 p.m.). The results were .19%.

- B. Based on respondent's unsatisfactory performance of the FSTs, her objective symptoms of intoxication, and the P.A.S. results, Officer Acosta determined that she was in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol and arrested her at approximately 1715 hours (5:15 p.m.). He conducted a search of respondent's right pants pocket and found a plastic baggy containing a small opaque plastic bottle with a temperature strip containing a clear fluid, a small brown bottle containing a grainy white material, and a small clear plastic tube containing a light brown material. He asked respondent about the items, and she replied that she used it to circumvent urine tests because she uses marijuana. Officer Acosta then advised respondent of Vehicle Code section 23136, the implied consent law and she chose a breath test. She was placed in the right front seat of the patrol vehicle.
- C. While Officer Acosta was completing the arrest paperwork, respondent became upset and attempted to unbuckle herself from the seatbelt. From a seated position, she struggled in the car seat, lunged forward, and yelled obscenities at Officer Acosta. Based on her unruly behavior and the police car's location on a narrow shoulder of the highway during heavy commuting time traffic, Officer Acosta requested backup. Officer Reyes and Sergeant L. Sherman attempted to calm respondent by speaking with her, but she began yelling obscenities and racial slurs at them.
- D. Officer Acosta transported respondent to the Fresno County

 Jail for a breath test. Respondent refused to cooperate. As Officer Acosta read the

 Chemical Test Refusal form to her, respondent became upset, began yelling, swung her legs
 at the officer, and kicked him. Officers Reyes and Acosta then placed respondent on the
 ground in a prone position to control her movements and stop the kicking. Sergeant

 Sherman advised Fresno County Sheriff's Deputies that they had a combative female in

 custody. Officers Acosta and Reyes assisted respondent up and walked her to the medical

screening window. Respondent continued to yell obscenities and personal insults. Officer Acosta completed the booking process and recommended prosecution for violations of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs; Vehicle Code section 23152(b), driving with a blood alcohol content of .08% or above; Penal Code section 243(b), assaulting a peace officer and Penal Code section 148, delaying or resisting a peace officer.

- 14. On or about July 7, 2004, a criminal complaint titled *People of the State of California vs. April Dawn Sacca* was filed in Superior Court, Fresno County, Central Division, Case Number 04914984-0. Count 1 charged respondent with a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs. As an enhancement, it was alleged that respondent in violation of Vehicle Code section 23577, refused a police officer's request to submit to, and failed to complete, chemical tests pursuant to Vehicle Code Section 23162. Count 2 charged respondent with a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 148(a)(1), delaying or resisting a peace officer. Count 3 charged respondent with a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 243(b), battery upon an officer and emergency personnel.
- A. On or about January 26, 2005, respondent entered a plea of guilty to Count 1, a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and admitted the enhancement charge, a violation of Vehicle Code section 23577. The remaining counts were dismissed.
- B. Judge John Vogt sentenced respondent to three years conditional probation, thirty days in jail; all suspended except ten days. She was ordered to obey all laws; attend Level 1 DUI school, pay a fine of \$1,498.00 and \$35.00; attend "Scared Stiff" program on March 30, 2005; eight days jail to be done in the Adult Offender Work Program in lieu of actual jail time. She was ordered to start by March 11, 2005 or report to jail on that day. Her license was restricted to and from work, during work, to and from school, and to and from a DUI school for ninety days. She was ordered to report to the Action Center.
 - 15. Therefore, respondent's license is subject to discipline pursuant to

1	code sections 3732(a) and (b), 3750(d) and 3752 [substantially related conviction], CCR		
2	1399.370(a) and (c) in that she was convicted of violating Penal Code section 23152(a),		
3	driving under the influence of alcohol, a misdemeanor.		
4	MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION		
5	16. In a letter to the Board dated August 12, 2005, respondent admitted		
6	that at the time of her arrest on May 28, 2004, she carried "supplements" to "detoxify her		
7	system of marijuana." She stated that she had recently begun a respiratory care practitioner		
8	program and had smoked marijuana prior to beginning her program. She was concerned		
9	that she would fail a drug test and so she "carried the materials used to defeat the tests."		
10	<u>PRAYER</u>		
11	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters		
12	herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:		
13	1. Denying the application of April Dawn Sacca-Juul for a Respiratory		
14	Care Practitioner License;		
15	2. Directing April Dawn Sacca-Juul to pay to the Respiratory Care		
16	Board of California the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed		
17	on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;		
18	///		
19	///		
20	///		
21	///		
22	///		
23	///		
24	///		
25	///		
26	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and		
27	proper.		
28			

1	DATED: January 24, 2006	
2		
3	3	
4	ST	iginal signed by Liane Zimmerman for: EPHANIE NUNEZ
5	Ex Re	ecutive Officer spiratory Care Board of California
6	De Sta	spiratory Care Board of California partment of Consumer Affairs tte of California pmplainant
7	Co	mplainant
8	3	
9)	
10)	
11		
12		
13	3	
14	l I	
15	5	
16	3	
17	1	
18	3	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	
		0